

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/971,095 10/03/2001 Igal Ladabaum 016132 0274779 2110 SC-007(U) EXAMINER 7590 04/16/2004 Pillsbury Winthrop, LLP LOBO, IAN J 2550 Hanover Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Palo Alto, CA 94304 3662

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/971,095	LADABAUM ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	.
The MAN INO DATE of this communication of	lan J. Lobo	3662	U/4/
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	viui uie correspondence (audress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tin DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	February 2004.	**	
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to t	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8,19-26 and 37-52 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,19-26 and 37-52 is/are rejected to great is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and the subject is/are: 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are rejected to great is/are rejected is/are rejected to great is/are rejected is/a	rawn from consideration. I. I/or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyonection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nation	nal Stage
Attach == ant(a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	DTO 450)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice o 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (F 	~1U-152)

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 37, 38 and 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 refers to "an acoustic transducer assembly". However, dependent claims 2-8, 37 and 38, refer back to claim 1 as the "apparatus". Similarly, claim 41 refers to "an acoustic transducer assembly". However, dependent claims 42-45 refer back to claim 41 as the "apparatus". Applicant is requested to clarify this discrepancy.

The dependency of claim 46 is questioned.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 41, 45, 46, 47, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3662

The original specification does not support a mixture of tungsten powder to epoxy ratio of 10 parts of tungsten powder to 1 part epoxy, as now claimed.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-8, 19-26, 37-40, 42-44 and 48-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,714,484 in view of Glenn ('582).

Art Unit: 3662

The instant claims listed on the left correspond to the claims of the patent listed on the right except for the instant claims limitation to "spherical tungsten powder" as opposed to the "spherical powder" of the patent.

Claims of instant application	Claims of '484 patent
1	1, 3, 4
2	5
3	2
4	2
5	1 ·
6	1
7	3
37	1, 3, 4
38	1, 3, 4
19	6, 9
20	5
21	. 7
22	7
23	6, 9, 10
. 24	6
25	6
26	3
39	6, 9, 10

Art Unit: 3662

40	6, 9, 10
42	1, 3, 4
43	1
44	1, 3
48	6, 9, 10
49	6
50	6, 9

The patent to Glenn teaches a lossy damping material 95 (see figure 8) wherein the mixture of tungsten and epoxy is used. In view of the fact that the '484 patent discloses the powder being lossy and Glenn teaching a lossy tungsten powder, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized tungsten powder in the mixture with epoxy.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3662

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4161. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lan J. Lobo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662